

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/506,361	02/18/2000	Marc Howard Spinoza	604-540	8242
23117	7590 06/05/2006		EXAM	INER
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE	
ARLINGTON		LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3763	
			DATE MAH ED. 06/05/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/506,361	SPINOZA, MARC HOWARD	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Catherine S. Williams	3763	
eriod 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address	
WHI - Ext afte - If N - Fai Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stay reply received by the Office later than three months after the month patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (R 1.136(a)). In no event, however, may a reliated will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
tatus				
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	3 March 2006.		
		his action is non-final.		
3)[_	Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposi	tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 17,32,33,54,59-61 and 69-80 is/ar	e pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
•	Claim(s) <u>17,32 and 33</u> is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>54,59-61,69-72 and 75-79</u> is/are re	ejected.		
· —	Claim(s) 73,74 and 80 is/are objected to.	d/or algetion requirement		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
pplica	tion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)∟	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	, ,	•	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	-, ,	···	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
		Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action of form F 10-132.	
riority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	<u>.</u>		
]Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore)	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
	application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) 🔲 Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 54,59-61,69-72 and 75-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Favalora et al (USPN 5,480,203) in view of Kellems (USPN 2,766,501). Favalora discloses an interwoven mesh tubular sleeve of variable length (12) with attachment means (24). The attachment means includes a doubling over of the sleeve. See 3:35-45 and 4:49-60. See figures 1-3 and 6-7. Favalora does not teach a second aperture through which the tube can pass at a second end of the sleeve.

However, Kellems teaches such a grip. See figure 4 and 3:72+. This type of grip is advantageous for removing cables from a conduit or for pulling up slack near the end of a cable.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to incorporate the braiding the double open ended construction of Kellems into the invention of Favalora in order to take enhance the functioning of the device of Favalora.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17,32 and 33 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3763

Claims 73-74 and 80 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/3/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Favalora does not teach a medical or surgical fastener. However, the devices above are capable of being used for securing cables on medical or surgical devices and are therefore, capable of being a medical or surgical fastener.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Twithing S. Williams

May 29, 2006